

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,866	01/11/2002	Matt Holtsinger	720801-1010	8414	
24504 759	90 10/06/2006	EXAMINER			
	AYDEN, HORSTEMEY	CHARLES,	CHARLES, DEBRA F		
100 GALLERIA	A PARKWAY, NW				
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA	A 30339-5948		3691		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/2000	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/043	,866	HOLTSINGER, MAŢ				
Office Action Summary		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Debra F	. Charles	3624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIOR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>11 January 2</i> 0	002.					
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action is	-					
3)□								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			•.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the	application.			•			
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□								
6)⊠	•							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers			·	•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	·			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	•	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requ	uired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. (§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docur	ments have been	received in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the ce	rtified copies not	received.	•			
				•				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	• •				

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility.

The invention lacks an output, does not solve a problem or enhance an operating process in a way that is novel. Further, it is not clear what benchmark music is.

Claims 1-32 also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3624

4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is not clear what benchmark music is.

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033

Art Unit: 3624

(Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "benchmark music" in claims 1-32 is used by the claims without any clear definition of what the term means in the claims, while the accepted meaning is "a standard upon which to base a performance." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rouchon(US 2001/0025259 A1).

Rouchon discloses downloading electronic music for storage and replay(Abstract, para 0021-0025). However, Rouchon does not disclose "benchmark music". It is not clear to one with an ordinary level of skill in the art to how the inventor is defining "benchmark music".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F. Charles whose telephone

Art Unit: 3624

Page 5

number is (571) 272 6791. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin can be reached on (571) 272 6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Debra F. Charles Examiner Art Unit 3624 Application/Control Number: 10/043,866 Art Unit: 3624

Page 6